Redefinition of Work in Egypt: How AI is Reshaping Skill Demands in Egypt

WP No. 246

April 2026

This working paper is authored by Ahmed Dawoud, Lead Economist at ECES, Ahmed Habashy, Data Scientist at ECES, Youssef Nasr, Research Associate at ECES, Sondos Samir, Research Associate at ECES; and Osama El Shamy, Data Scientist at ECES.

Abstract

Global models of Generative AI's economic impact are insufficient for navigating the unique policy landscape of a nation like Egypt, where national development goals under "Vision 2030" intersect with the challenge of harnessing a significant demographic dividend. This study addresses this gap by providing the first empirical quantification of AI's impact on the Egyptian labor market. We introduce a novel, task-level methodology, analyzing over 28,311 online job postings via a novel research pipeline to construct the Job Automatability Index, a bottom-up measure of automation exposure. The analysis reveals that AI exposure varies significantly by geography and work arrangement, reflecting a structural concentration of automatable roles in the nation's economic centers and in remote work. This underlying force drives a sharp occupational polarization, with Clerical Support Workers exhibiting high susceptibility to substitution (52% task automatability), while manual occupations remain insulated. Critically, for the nation's vital professional and managerial class, AI's primary impact is not substitution but a fundamental redefinition of core tasks. Our findings diagnose the precise mechanics of this transformation—substitution, augmentation, and redefinition, and identify the emergence of the "hybrid professional," this terminology was set by ECES professionals. This provides a data-driven framework for aligning national skilling, education, and economic strategies with the new realities of an AI-driven economy.

ملخص

لا يوجد نماذج عالمية كافية لتقدير الأثر الاقتصادي للذكاء الاصطناعي لفهم السياسات الخاصة بمصر كونها تتقاطع فيها أهداف التنمية الوطنية في إطار "رؤية 2030" مع تحديات الاستفادة من الحجم السكاني الكبير. ومن ثم، تسعى هذه الدراسة إلى سد هذه الفجوة من خلال طرح أول تقدير كمي تجريبي لتأثير الذكاء الاصطناعي على سوق العمل المصري. واستخدمت الدراسة منهجية جديدة تقوم بتحليل أكثر من 28,311 إعلان وظيفة عبر الإنترنت على أساس المهام الوظيفية، وذلك باستخدام إطار بحثي جديد بهدف بناء "مؤشر قابلية الوظائف للأتمتة" كمقياس تصاعدي لمدى القدرة على إحلال الوظائف باستخدام الذكاء الاصطناعي؛ حيث أظهرت نتائج التحليل أن هناك تباين ملحوظ في مدى عرضة بعض الوظائف لذلك، بحسب الموقع الجغرافي ونمط العمل، بما يعكس تركزا هيكليا للوظائف القابلة للأتمتة في المراكز الاقتصادية الرئيسية بالدولة وفي أنماط العمل عن بعد؛ وهو ما يخلق حالة واضحة من الاستقطاب المهني؛ فبينما ترتفع نسبة عرضة وظائف الدعم المكتبي للأتمتة (52%)، تظل المهن اليدوية غير معرضة لذلك. أما بالنسبة للفئات المهنية والإدارية، فإن التأثير الرئيسي للذكاء الاصطناعي عليها لا يتمثل في قدرته على إحلالها، ولكن في إعادة تعريف جوهر المهام الوظيفية الخاصة بها. وتحدد الدراسة بدقة آليات هذا التحول—الإحلال الكامل للوظائف، تعزيز القدرات البشرية للأفراد، وإعادة التعريف—كما تبرز مصطلح جديد استحدثه خبراء المركز المصري للدراسات الاقتصادية وهو "المهني متعدد المهارات"، وذلك بهدف إتاحة البيانات اللازمة للمساعدة في المواءمة بين سياسات تنمية المهارات والتعليم والاستراتيجيات الاقتصادية ومتطلبات الاقتصاد القائم على الذكاء الاصطناعي.

Keywords:

- **Generative AI**
- **Labor Market Transformation**
- **Task-Based Analysis**
- **Job Automatability Index**
- **Occupational Polarization**
- **Skills Demand**
- **Egypt**

INTRODUCTION

The global economy is grappling with a profound paradox. While Generative AI is being adopted at a speed without historical precedent, its promise of a productivity revolution remains largely unfulfilled. This disconnect between individual efficiency gains and lagging organizational performance highlights a critical uncertainty: firms and nations are investing billions in a technology whose impact they do not yet fully understand. Global models offer broad predictions, but they are insufficient for navigating the high-stakes reality of a nation like Egypt, where ambitious national development goals collide with unique economic and demographic pressures. Egypt's national strategy, "Vision 2030," and the imperative to create opportunities for its vast youth demographic are being forged at the very moment AI is set to redefine the nature of work itself.

This study confronts this uncertainty by moving beyond speculation to provide a high-resolution map of AI's real-world impact on the Egyptian labor market. The central challenge for policymakers and business leaders is not a lack of ambition, but a lack of granular, empirical evidence. It is one thing to know that AI will transform skills; it is another to know precisely which skills, in which jobs, and through what mechanism. Answering these questions is impossible with generic, top-down analyses that treat occupations as monolithic blocks.

To fill this critical knowledge gap, we introduce a novel, task-level methodology to analyze AI's impact. By deconstructing over 28,311 online job postings into their constituent tasks, our proprietary analytical pipeline creates the Job Automatability Index—a bottom-up, data-driven measure of automation exposure. This approach allows us to pinpoint the true loci of change, revealing a sharp occupational polarization hidden within broad sectoral trends. We identify not only the roles most exposed to substitution and those insulated from change, but most importantly, we diagnose the mechanics of augmentation and redefinition that will shape the future of high-skill professional work. This paper provides the first empirical baseline of AI's impact on Egypt, offering actionable insights for navigating the complex interplay between technological disruption and national ambition.

1. LITERATURE REVIEW

Generative AI is transforming the nature of work at an unprecedented speed and scale, raising urgent questions about its economic impact (McKinsey & Company, 2023a; Microsoft & LinkedIn, 2024). While global models provide a foundational understanding of this disruption, they are insufficient to explain how the transition will unfold within the unique context of a nation like Egypt, with its distinct economic ambitions and demographic pressures. This review synthesizes established research to construct a cohesive narrative of AI's impact, pinpointing the critical gap that only targeted, empirical research can fill.

1.1. A New Technological Paradigm: Disruption of Speed and Scope

The current technological wave driven by Generative AI is fundamentally distinct from previous shifts in its speed and scope (Eloundou et al., 2024). Its adoption rate is unmatched—ChatGPT reached 100 million users in two months, a milestone that took the internet seven years. This compressed timeline dramatically reduces the window for adaptation among workers, firms, and policymakers. (Zhang, 2024).

Simultaneously, its scope extends beyond the routine administrative tasks disrupted by earlier technologies (Acemoglu & Autor, 2011) to target core cognitive functions like coding, design, and analysis, long considered the exclusive domain of high-skilled professionals (Felten et al., 2023). Nearly 70% of occupations may now face substantial automation of their core functions (Zarifhonarvar, 2023), confirming that GenAI is not merely another wave of automation but a paradigm shift targeting the core of the knowledge economy.

1.2. A Skills-Based Transformation: The Four Occupational Archetypes

The most effective analysis of AI's impact views occupations not as monolithic jobs to be eliminated, but as dynamic bundles of tasks. This skill-first approach reveals that AI is a polarizing force, sorting roles into four distinct archetypes: created, augmented, disrupted, and insulated (Kimbrough & Carpanelli, 2023; Carpanelli et al., 2024a). Created occupations, such as AI engineering, are a small but rapidly growing segment of deep technical experts, with hiring growing 30% faster than the overall market in 2024 (Access Partnership, 2024). Insulated roles, like nurses and construction specialists, are shielded by their reliance on physical dexterity and in-person interaction.

The most significant transformations occur in the other two categories. Disrupted occupations, such as administrative assistants, are defined by a high concentration of

automatable skills, creating an urgent need for skills-based career transitions. Augmented occupations represent the future of professional work. In roles like software engineering, AI automates routine components (e.g., code generation), freeing professionals to focus on higher-value human skills. Firms using AI code assistants, for instance, increased hiring of software engineers but prioritized candidates with 13.3% more non-programming skills like project management (Baird et al., 2024b). This framework reframes the central question from job loss to skill evolution, highlighting a clear trend: the future belongs to those who can blend AI literacy with uniquely human skills.

1.3. Emerging Dynamics: Inequality and the Productivity Paradox

This skills transformation is not unfolding uniformly. A consistent finding is that AI's impact deepens gender inequality, as women are globally overrepresented in "disrupted" occupations while men are concentrated in "augmented" or "insulated" roles (Baird et al., 2024a; World Economic Forum & LinkedIn, 2025; Kimbrough & Carpanelli, 2023). This disparity is compounded by a persistent gender gap in the AI talent pipeline itself (OECD, 2025).

Simultaneously, a perplexing "Productivity Paradox" has emerged, where surging individual efficiency is failing to translate into organizational profit. On one hand, AI adoption is exploding from the bottom up: three-quarters of knowledge workers now use AI to complete tasks faster and more effectively (Microsoft & LinkedIn, 2024; Dell'Acqua et al., 2023). On the other hand, this surge in personal productivity is vanishing before it reaches the bottom line, with nearly 80% of businesses reporting no meaningful financial gains from their AI initiatives (McKinsey & Company, 2025).

This disconnect stems from a critical strategic failure: firms are using AI for tactical acceleration rather than fundamental reinvention. Making an employee faster at their existing job merely frees up their time; it does not automatically reconfigure that time into revenue-generating activities. True value is unlocked only through a deliberate, top-down strategy that overhauls entire workflows. However, these complex, high-impact projects are stalling, with an estimated 90% remaining stuck in the experimental phase, preventing the deep, systemic changes required to drive profit (Sukharevsky, et al., 2025).

1.4. The National Imperative and the Identified Research Gap

Global frameworks are essential for identifying what is changing, but they cannot explain how and why it is changing in a specific socio-economic context like Egypt. The nation's ambitious development goals, outlined in "Vision 2030," collide with a unique labor market

reality defined by the immense challenge of absorbing its large and growing youth population into the formal economy, a persistent local tech talent deficit, and high youth unemployment. This dynamic is further complicated by a wider "Productivity Paradox," where high AI adoption rates are not yet translating into significant economy-wide value.

This review, therefore, identifies a critical research gap: the absence of granular, empirical, real-time evidence tracking the evolution of skill demands within the high-stakes Egyptian market. Existing global models cannot capture the complex interplay of national development strategy, demographic pressures, and technological disruption. This study is designed to fill that void. By analyzing tens of thousands of online job postings, it will move beyond broad projections to provide concrete, data-driven evidence of the specific hybrid skillsets that Egyptian employers are demanding now. Such an analysis is not merely academic; it is an essential tool for providing actionable insights to policymakers, educators, and business leaders tasked with building a future-ready workforce and capitalizing on the nation's demographic potential.

2. METHODOLOGY

This study employs a novel, multi-stage methodology to measure AI's impact by decomposing job roles into their constituent tasks, creating a high-resolution measure of automation potential. The process comprises four key stages: (1) Data Collection and Pre-processing, (2) ISCO-08 Occupational Classification, (3) a proprietary AI-driven Task-Level Decomposition pipeline, and (4) construction of a weighted Job Automatability Index.

2.1. Data and Classification

The analysis is built on a dataset of 28,311 unique online job postings from the Egypt's leading public portals (LinkedIn, Wuzzuf, and Forasna) during 2025, representing an estimated 70% of the online market. Rigorous pre-processing ensured data quality through duplicate removal, name standardization, and the cleansing of unstructured text. Each posting was then programmatically classified using the International Labour Organization's ISCO-08 taxonomy. This globally recognized framework enables the aggregation of our granular findings into meaningful occupational groups, ensuring comparability with international labor market research.

2.2. AI-driven Task-Level Decomposition

To capture granular skill shifts, we developed a robust AI pipeline that deconstructs each job posting into its core tasks. The pipeline systematically executes a three-stage analysis

using a Gemini 2.5 Pro, with constrained decoding at each stage to guarantee structured, machine-readable JSON output.

- **Task Extraction:** The pipeline first configures the LLM as a specialized occupational analyst to extract a standardized list of 1-15 tasks from each job description. A structured-prompting framework required the model to ground every task directly in the source text, standardize outputs into a verb-object format (e.g., "analyze financial data"), and consolidate semantic redundancies.
- **Importance Classification:** Second, the model evaluates the relative importance of each extracted task, classifying it as Primary (core function), Secondary (significant but non-central), or Ancillary (supporting duty). This classification is performed on all of a job's tasks simultaneously to ensure an accurate assessment of the role's internal hierarchy.
- **Automatability Assessment:** In the final stage, the pipeline assesses each task's automation potential against a rigorous analytical framework derived from established industry benchmarks and technical documentation. The framework defines clear features for Automatable tasks (e.g., routine content generation, procedural workflows) and Not Automatable tasks (e.g., strategic planning, empathetic interaction, physical capabilities). The model was required to provide a justification for each classification, creating a transparent and auditable analytical trail.

2.3. Job Automatability Index Construction

The task-level data were synthesized into a single metric: the Job Automatability Index. The index is a weighted proportion of a job's responsibilities susceptible to automation. Primary tasks were assigned a 60% weight, Secondary 30%, and Ancillary 10%. A dynamic scaling mechanism normalizes these weights to sum to 100 for every job, ensuring robust comparability across roles with varying numbers of tasks. The final index score is the sum of the scaled weights of all tasks classified as 'Automatable'. Technical details can be found in Annex A.

2.4. Analytical Framework

Our framework employs a tripartite standard to ensure findings are both statistically significant and practically meaningful. A subgroup's deviation from the mean was deemed robustly significant only if it met two criteria:

- **Statistical Stability:** A 95% confidence interval, constructed via a non-parametric percentile bootstrap (1,000 resamples), that does not contain zero.
- **Statistical Significance:** A p-value that remained significant ($p_{adj} < 0.05$) after adjusting for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

This triangulation ensures our conclusions are based on differences that are statistically improbable, stable, and of practical relevance, Technical details can be found in Annex A.

2.5. Methodological Rigor and Validation

To ensure the rigor and validity of the AI pipeline, we implemented a two-pronged strategy combining internal safeguards with external expert validation.

- **Internally,** constrained decoding to a JSON schema eliminated stochasticity, while a strict 'grounding rule' prevented model hallucination, rendering the process replicable and auditable.
- **Externally,** a random sample of outputs was audited by ECES professional economists. The model achieved over 90% concordance with expert consensus, with remaining discrepancies stemming primarily from subjective disagreements among the experts themselves. This confirms the model performs at a level comparable to human experts in this complex domain and functions as a high-speed analytical engine, not an opaque creative agent.

3. PROFILING EGYPT'S LABOR MARKET

3.1. Data Coverage and Scope

This analysis draws from a rich dataset of approximately 400,000 unique online job postings collected between Q1 2024 and Q3 2025, sourced primarily from **Wuzzuf and Forasna**. As the foundation for the **Egyptian Center for Economic Studies (ECES) quarterly labor market demand events** [2], this dataset offers a comprehensive snapshot of Egypt's evolving economic landscape. Capturing metrics from over **28,311 companies**, the data provides real-time insights into the **skills and qualifications** sought across sectors. The resulting analysis outlines the current state of labor demand, highlighting the critical distinctions between white-collar and blue-collar employment trends in the Egyptian market.

The dataset includes all major job characteristics and variables such as gender, experience, education level, sector, field, skills, occupation, age, and geographic distribution, among others. This comprehensive scope enables a nuanced understanding of employers' needs and labor market dynamics across different regions and sectors in Egypt.

However, we do not claim that the dataset is representative of all segments of the Egyptian labor market. We acknowledge our reliance on online data sources, which excludes sectors that rarely use digital recruitment channels—such as **agriculture**, which in Egypt is largely informal. Nevertheless, the dataset provides **substantial value**, as it is the **first to offer real-time insights into the demand side** of the labor market. It allows for continuous tracking of trends, especially as more companies increasingly adopt digital recruitment platforms.[1]

3.2. Key Findings 2023 - 2025

This section summarizes the major findings observed between Q1 2023 and Q3 2025, with a focus on recurring patterns in labor demand and a comparative view of white-collar versus blue-collar employment.

- **Extreme Geographic Concentration in Job Creation**

Egypt's labor market demand is characterized by extreme geographic concentration across all levels. As shown in Table 1, most White-Collar jobs (80~84%) are concentrated in the Capital region (Cairo & Giza), which consistently accounts for the vast majority of all job postings. Within the Capital, on average 80% of total jobs are located in Cairo, with very limited representation across other regions.

At the city level, a small number of cities within Cairo (e.g., New Cairo, Maadi, Nasr City) and Giza (e.g., 6th October, Sheikh Zayed) drive the bulk of total demand.

Similarly, **blue-collar jobs** are also highly concentrated in the **capital region** (between **60–75%**). **Even though Lower Egypt accounts for around one-third of blue-collar job postings**, other regions remain **underrepresented**.

This geographic concentration of job opportunities does not align with Egypt's population distribution, as most of the population resides in Lower and Upper Egypt. As a

[1] For more details check: An AI-Driven Lens on The Demand side of the Egyptian Labor Market (2021-To Date)Part I: A Framework for Real-Time Labor Market Intelligence: Data, Methods, and Key Findings - ECES
[2] For more details check: https://eces.org.eg/en/eces_event/demand-in-egypts-labor-market-q4-year-2025/

result, this imbalance drives internal migration toward the Capital in search of better employment opportunities.

Table 1. Job Demand Distribution Across Regions (Q1 2023 – Q3 2025)

Region	collar	Q1 2023	Q2 2023	Q3 2023	Q4 2023	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Q4 2024	Q1 2025	Q2 2025	Q3 2025
Capital	white	81%	80%	81%	82%	83%	83%	83%	82%	84%	83%	83%
	Blue	68%	60%	62%	67%	75%	71%	68%	69%	68%	69%	65%
Lower Egypt	white	9%	9%	10%	9%	8%	9%	8%	8%	7%	6%	7%
	Blue	20%	20%	23%	23%	17%	18%	19%	20%	20%	20%	25%
Alexanderia	white	6%	6%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%
	Blue	5%	8%	6%	5%	3%	5%	7%	6%	5%	5%	4%
Upper Egypt	white	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Blue	2%	6%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Canal governorates	white	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Blue	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Border governorates	white	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%
	Blue	2%	4%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%

Source: ECES Calculations based on collected dataset.

- **Gender Neutrality in White Collar vs. Male Preference in Blue Collar Jobs**

Gender patterns in job postings reveal a clear divide between white-collar and blue-collar segments of Egypt's labor market. As shown in Table 2, approximately 87% of white-collar job postings are gender-neutral, and the proportion of postings specifying gender requirements has been steadily declining over time. This trend suggests a gradual shift toward greater inclusivity in professional and administrative roles.

Table 2. Job Demand Distribution by Gender (Q1 2023 – Q3 2025)

Gender	collar	Q1 2023	Q2 2023	Q3 2023	Q4 2023	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Q4 2024	Q1 2025	Q2 2025	Q3 2025
Neutral	white	84%	83%	82%	82%	85%	84%	87%	86%	88%	89%	90%
	Blue	23%	19%	19%	24%	21%	23%	21%	24%	21%	25%	21%
Male	white	11%	12%	13%	13%	10%	11%	9%	10%	8%	7%	7%
	Blue	75%	80%	79%	74%	78%	76%	77%	75%	77%	74%	77%
Female	white	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%
	Blue	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%

Source: ECES Calculations based on collected dataset.

- **Bachelor's Degree is Essential for White-Collar Jobs & Dominance of Intermediate Education for Blue-Collar Roles**

As shown in Table 3, it is essential for candidates to hold a bachelor's degree for most white-collar jobs (95~98%). Demand for intermediate education is very limited, and the need for higher education levels such as Master's or PhD degrees remains marginal.

Meanwhile, around half of blue-collar roles (47~57%) require intermediate qualifications (e.g., technical education). However, on average, about 20% of blue-collar jobs still require bachelor's degree holders mainly in sales, warehouse management, and cashier positions as an attempt by employers to compensate for the lack of skills among candidates with lower educational levels or to ensure higher performance quality. However, relying on higher education as a default standard for blue-collar positions is neither sustainable nor desirable.

Table 3. Job Demand Distribution by Education Level (Q1 2023 – Q3 2025)

Education Level	Collar	Q1 2023	Q2 2023	Q3 2023	Q4 2023	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Q4 2024	Q1 2025	Q2 2025	Q3 2025
Bachelor	white	96%	97%	97%	95%	97%	95%	98%	97%	97%	97%	98%
	Blue	24%	15%	18%	16%	15%	18%	18%	24%	22%	21%	27%
Intermediate	white	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%
	Blue	49%	57%	51%	52%	54%	55%	55%	49%	45%	48%	47%
<Intermediate	white	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Blue	19%	22%	26%	19%	20%	20%	18%	19%	23%	21%	18%
MS/PhD	white	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
	Blue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Specified	white	0.3%	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%
	Blue	8.0%	5.9%	4.9%	13.1%	10.4%	7.1%	8.6%	7.7%	9.4%	10.4%	8.6%

Source: ECES Calculations based on collected dataset.

- **Over Half of Jobs Require Candidates with Medium-Level Experience**

As shown in Table 4, **more than half of white-collar job postings target candidates with medium levels of experience**, averaging around **four years**. A similar pattern appears in blue-collar employment, where **prior experience is also widely required**. In blue-collar roles specifically, this reliance on experience reflects employers' attempts to compensate for **gaps in education quality** and to ensure that workers can perform effectively from the outset.

Demand for fresh graduates remains unstable. Interestingly, even positions advertised for fresh graduates require, on average, between (1.7 ~ 2) years of prior

experience. This underscores the importance of practical training and internships during education to enhance employability and increase the likelihood of securing a job after graduation.

Table 4. Job Demand Distribution by Experience level (Q1 2023 – Q3 2025)

Experience level	Collar	Q1 2023	Q2 2023	Q3 2023	Q4 2023	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Q4 2024	Q1 2025	Q2 2025	Q3 2025
Experienced	white	57%	57%	58%	57%	54%	57%	52%	55%	57%	50%	59%
	Blue	62%	62%	60%	57%	56%	64%	63%	58%	61%	54%	58%
Fresh Grad	white	30%	30%	28%	28%	29%	29%	25%	32%	30%	31%	29%
	Blue	10%	10%	13%	11%	10%	9%	11%	12%	9%	11%	12%
Manager	white	9%	9%	10%	9%	9%	10%	8%	8%	8%	9%	7%
	Blue	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	5%
Not specify	white	2%	1%	3%	4%	7%	2%	12%	2%	2%	8%	3%
	Blue	25%	24%	25%	29%	30%	24%	23%	26%	26%	32%	26%
Student	white	0.8%	1.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%	1.0%	0.3%	0.9%	0.4%	1.3%	1.0%
	Blue	0.5%	0.7%	0.1%	0.5%	0.9%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Senior Management	white	1.2%	0.9%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	0.9%	1.8%	2.0%	2.3%	0.9%	1.6%
	Blue	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Source: ECES Calculations based on collected dataset.

- **Demand Concentration in a Few Key Sectors**

Demand For White-Collar Jobs was consistently concentrated in a few number of leading sectors, with *Marketing & Advertising* and *IT Services/Software Development* frequently ranking among the top categories. This consistency underscores a structural demand for digital talent and marketing expertise—both of which remain critical as firms across sectors continue to adapt to an increasingly online and competitive marketplace. The sustained presence of *Accounting & Finance* further highlights the centrality of fiscal oversight in all operational models, even amid shifting economic conditions.

As shown in Table 5, Sales/Retail consistently topped demand (12–17%), followed by Customer Service/Support and IT/Software Development, which together account for nearly one-third of postings. Demand for Engineering roles has also shown steady growth—from around 5% in q1- 2023 to 10% by Q3 2025 reflecting renewed activity in construction and infrastructure development.

Demand in blue-collar roles remains highly concentrated, with around (88~97%) of total postings clustered in six major job categories: *Marketing & Sales, Services, Driving &*

Delivery, Crafts, Manufacturing, and *Tourism & Hospitality. Marketing & Sales* consistently ranked as the largest contributor, fluctuating between (22% ~ 34%), followed by *Services* and *Driving & Delivery.* In contrast, *Tourism & Hospitality* showed significant volatility—peaking at around 14% but dropping to nearly half that level (7%) in the latest quarter—highlighting the sector's sensitivity to political and regional instability.

Table 5. Job Demand Distribution by Top 6 Job categories (Q1 2023 – Q3 2025)

Job category	Collar	Q1 2023	Q2 2023	Q3 2023	Q4 2023	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Q4 2024	Q1 2025	Q2 2025	Q3 2025
Sales/Retail	White	17%	14%	14%	14%	13%	16%	12%	13%	12%	14%	12%
Customer Service/Support		14%	13%	13%	15%	16%	15%	9%	9%	10%	9%	8%
IT/Software Development		12%	10%	10%	8%	9%	7%	8%	7%	8%	9%	10%
Accounting/Finance		8.0%	8.4%	8.9%	8.5%	9.1%	8.1%	7.6%	7.9%	8.0%	6.7%	7.6%
Administration		7.5%	6.7%	7.1%	7.0%	7.7%	6.7%	5.7%	5.5%	4.8%	4.9%	5.2%
Engineering - Construction/Civil/Architecture		4.9%	5.9%	6.4%	6.9%	7.0%	7.2%	8.9%	9.3%	9.1%	7.9%	10.0%
Marketing & Sales	Blue	34.23%	22.58%	21.63%	25.69%	23.85%	26.73%	23.99%	26.54%	26.26%	21.11%	27.30%
Services		17.57%	17.00%	17.45%	19.24%	19.34%	14.60%	15.08%	12.91%	12.54%	14.46%	12.75%
Driving & Delivery		10.57%	15.46%	18.65%	14.32%	14.68%	13.60%	15.94%	15.42%	18.84%	15.37%	16.98%
Crafts		9.36%	9.25%	10.85%	8.12%	8.34%	11.46%	11.09%	8.91%	7.74%	9.51%	9.41%
Manufacturing		11.51%	18.29%	17.27%	14.52%	16.46%	14.71%	16.53%	14.53%	16.48%	14.95%	15.41%
Tourism & Hospitality		10.87%	13.78%	7.54%	12.40%	12.07%	13.40%	10.63%	12.98%	9.88%	14.13%	6.97%

Source: ECES Calculations based on collected dataset.

- **Work Type Patterns: Limited Flexibility for Blue-Collar Jobs**

Egypt's labor market continues to be dominated by full-time employment across both occupational groups. As shown in **Table 6**, **full-time jobs consistently account for (96~99%) of total postings**, reflecting a clear employer preference for stable and structured work arrangements.

In contrast, **remote and hybrid work options remain largely confined to white-collar occupations**. "Work-from-home" opportunities, which had briefly increased during and immediately after the COVID-19 pandemic, were limited to a small share of white-collar postings (around 4–6% in early 2023). However, these opportunities have **gradually disappeared by 2025**, suggesting a return to conventional on-site work models as pandemic-related disruptions subsided. Meanwhile, **blue-collar jobs offer virtually no remote or hybrid work options**, underscoring the **inherent rigidity of physically based occupations** such as driving, delivery, manufacturing, and crafts. Even during the pandemic, these roles lacked the practical feasibility for home-based work.

Furthermore, **freelance opportunities have shown a steady decline** across both occupational categories. For white-collar roles, freelance postings dropped from around

4% in early 2023 to less than 1% by 2025, while among blue-collar jobs, freelance and seasonal work remained almost nonexistent throughout the observed period. This downward trend highlights the **contraction of flexible and project-based employment**, as both employers and jobseekers appear to favor job security and continuity over short-term arrangements in the post-pandemic labor market.

Table 6. Job Demand Distribution by Work Type Patterns (Q1 2023 – Q3 2025)

Work type	Collar	Q1 2023	Q2 2023	Q3 2023	Q4 2023	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Q4 2024	Q1 2025	Q2 2025	Q3 2025
Full time	white	96.2%	93.0%	95.0%	96.0%	96.9%	96.0%	98.6%	98.7%	98.6%	98.8%	98.8%
	Blue	97.6%	98.2%	97.6%	98.3%	98.7%	98.8%	98.1%	98.6%	98.0%	98.4%	99.0%
Part time	white	3.8%	7.1%	5.0%	4.0%	3.1%	4.0%	1.5%	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%	1.2%
	Blue	0.5%	0.6%	1.7%	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%	1.2%	1.3%	1.2%	0.8%	0.6%
Freelance	white	2.5%	4.0%	2.1%	2.2%	1.3%	1.1%	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%
	Blue	1.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%
Seasonal	white	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Blue	0.79%	0.86%	0.07%	0.12%	0.23%	0.00%	0.24%	0.10%	0.28%	0.51%	0.05%
From home	white	4.37%	5.37%	4.22%	5.78%	1.28%	0.20%	4.35%	2.49%	0.21%	0.24%	0.08%
	Blue	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.41%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Hybrid	white	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.38%	3.30%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Source: ECES Calculations based on collected dataset.

3.3. Summary of Key Findings

The analysis of Egypt's online job market reveals a set of key findings that outline the demand for labor, highlighting both shared characteristics and distinct differences between white-collar and blue-collar employment.

- **General Results (Applicable to Both White- and Blue-Collar)**
  - **Extreme Geographic Concentration:** Job creation is almost entirely concentrated in the Capital region (Cairo and Giza), creating a clear geographic gap and a mismatch with Egypt's population distribution, as the majority resides in the Delta and Upper Egypt.
  - **Experience is a Prerequisite:** The market shows a strong preference for experienced candidates across both job types, to the extent that many positions advertised for recent graduates actually require prior work experience.
  - **Dominance of Traditional Work Models:** Full-time, on-site work overwhelmingly dominates the market. For white-collar roles, "work-from-home" was a temporary

phenomenon tied to the COVID era that has gradually faded. This flexibility was never an option for blue-collar jobs to begin with.

- **Sectoral Concentration:** Demand in both segments is consistently concentrated in a limited number of economic sectors from one quarter to the next.

- **Comparative Factors (White-Collar vs. Blue-Collar)**

Most white-collar jobs are characterized by **gender neutrality**, whereas a clear preference for **male candidates** is observed in blue-collar roles, often due to the nature of the tasks involved.

On the educational front, a **bachelor's degree** is an essential requirement for the majority of white-collar positions. In contrast, **intermediate education** dominates blue-collar job requirements, although there is a notable demand for university graduates in certain roles (such as sales). This is often a mechanism for employers to ensure higher performance quality or to compensate for perceived skill gaps.

4. THE IMPACT OF AI ON THE EGYPTIAN LABOR MARKET

This chapter assesses the susceptibility of the Egyptian labor market to automation driven by Generative AI. By applying the Job Automatability Index to a dataset of over 28,311 online job postings, this section establishes a quantitative baseline for AI's potential impact on high-skill occupations. The analysis will first explore the overall degree of task automatability across the market, considering the broad implications for future skill demands and productivity. Subsequently, the chapter moves beyond this aggregate view to investigate the significant heterogeneity present within the labor force. This granular approach is essential for identifying the specific sectors and job roles most exposed to technological disruption, as well as those that remain relatively insulated, providing a more detailed forecast of the forthcoming structural shifts.

4.1. A Question of Role, Manifested by Place: Distribution of Risk Across Geography and Work Mode

The analysis of AI automation exposure across Egypt's major economic regions reveals a notable consistency, suggesting that geography is not a primary determinant of impact. Key economic hubs such as Lower Egypt (38.74), Alexandria (36.78), and the Capital (34.18) all cluster closely around the dataset average of 33.20.

A similar principle of task-based, rather than context-based, impact is evident in the work arrangements. While 'from home' roles show a high mean score (51.78), this figure is derived from an extremely small sample (N=18) and is therefore not representative. The 'from office' category, which comprises the vast majority of the labor market (N>22,000), aligns almost perfectly with the national average, reinforcing it as the benchmark. The one significant regional outlier is the Border region (21.15); its lower score is best understood not as a geographical buffer against AI, but as a reflection of a different dominant occupational structure, likely with a higher concentration of manual and blue-collar roles that are less susceptible to cognitive automation.

This leads to a critical conclusion: AI's transformative potential is a function of a role's intrinsic tasks, not its circumstantial context. The relative uniformity of scores across Egypt's diverse major regions confirms that the impact of Generative AI is a fundamental, task-based shift that will be felt across the entire economy. No major economic center is shielded by its location; everyone is going to be impacted based on their role. This finding necessitates the deeper occupational and sectoral analysis provided in the following sections to understand precisely which roles are driving this nationwide transformation.

Table 7. Automatability Index / Geographic Region

Region	Mean	N	Diff. from Dataset Avg.	p-value	Sig.
Border	21.15	579	-12.05	0	***
Outside Egypt	27.46	2312	-5.74	0	***
Lower Egypt	38.74	1278	5.54	0	***
Alexandria	36.78	945	3.58	0.0013	**
Capital	34.18	16352	0.98	0.0001	***
Canal	32.34	163	-0.87	0.7216	
Upper Egypt	34.03	214	0.82	0.7216	
Dataset Average	**33.2**	**28311**	**0**		

Source: Authors' analysis of online job postings dataset (n=28,311).

***p < 0.001, **p < 0.02, *p < 0.05

p-values adjusted for multiple comparisons using FDR correction

Table 8: Automatability Index/ Work Mode

from_home_or_office	Mean	N	Diff. from Dataset Avg.	p-value	Sig.
from_home	51.78	18	18.58	0.0934	
from_office	33.36	22741	0.16	0.4522	
Dataset Average	**33.2**	**28311**	**0**		

Source: Authors' analysis of online job postings dataset (n=28,311).

***p < 0.001, **p < 0.02, *p < 0.05

p-values adjusted for multiple comparisons using FDR correction

4.2. Sectoral Fault Lines: Where the Transformation Hits Hardest

Sectoral analysis reveals deep fault lines, where industry affiliation is a powerful predictor of AI's transformative impact. The data shows a market of extremes, with clear concentrations of both high-risk and low-risk sectors, rather than a broad-based, homogenous exposure.

At one end of the spectrum, the Legal Services sector emerges as a significant outlier with the highest Mean Automatability Index score (51.81). This indicates a heavy concentration of roles centered on research, document analysis, and drafting, tasks fundamentally aligned with the capabilities of Generative AI. Other sectors like Creative Services (47.65) and Wellness & Fitness (48.67) also exhibit significantly elevated scores.

Conversely, some sectors appear remarkably insulated from the immediate wave of AI-driven automation. The Government & Public Sector has a uniquely low mean score of 7.18, and the Hospitality & Travel sector is also positioned at the lower end with a score of 17.58. These sectors are characterized by a high degree of interpersonal interaction, in-person services, and complex contextual understanding—tasks that remain largely outside the scope of current automation technologies.

This wide variance confirms that in the Egyptian context, a sector is not merely a heterogeneous collection of roles; it is a strong indicator of susceptibility to automation. Therefore, while sectoral data clearly identifies the national epicenters of change, a granular, occupation-level analysis is required to pinpoint the specific roles driving these divergent trends, a task the following section undertakes.

Table 9. Automatability Index/ Sector

Sector	Mean	N	Diff. from Dataset Avg.	p-value	Sig.
Creative Services	47.65	16	14.44	0.1934	
Wellness & Fitness	48.67	2	15.46	0.6874	

Investment & Venture Capital	29.24	5	-3.97	0.8735	
Healthcare & Life Sciences	34.17	398	0.97	0.7142	
Education	32.03	499	-1.17	0.5735	
Environmental Services	35.45	31	2.25	0.7808	
Design Services	39.43	334	6.23	0.0022	**
Consumer Services	36.33	266	3.12	0.1502	
Legal Services	51.81	207	18.61	0	***
Retail	42.87	304	9.66	0	***
Real Estate & Construction	32.92	953	-0.28	0.8834	
Government & Public Sector	5.83	22	-27.38	0	***
Wholesale & Distribution	23.96	71	-9.25	0.0323	*
Media & Communications	37.48	193	4.27	0.1898	
Automotive	38.66	253	5.45	0.0139	**
Aerospace & Defense	42.45	75	9.24	0.0501	
Manufacturing	34.9	773	1.7	0.2453	
Hospitality & Travel	16.61	1464	-16.59	0	***
Food & Beverage	38.21	159	5	0.0944	
Consulting & Professional Services	25.31	525	-7.89	0	***
Human Resources & Staffing	33.38	687	0.18	0.9568	
Security Services	36.28	12	3.08	0.8735	
IT & Technology	30.96	2232	-2.24	0.0027	**
Energy & Utilities	37.57	154	4.37	0.1515	
Advertising & Marketing	32.69	1121	-0.52	0.7628	
Financial Services	29.91	495	-3.3	0.0419	*
Transportation & Logistics	31.52	161	-1.68	0.6801	
Non-Profit & Social Services	31.39	96	-1.82	0.7407	
Research	36.65	21	3.45	0.7628	
Dataset Average	**33.2**	**28311**	**0**		

Source: Authors' analysis of online job postings dataset (n=28,311).

***p < 0.001, **p < 0.02, *p < 0.05

p-values adjusted for multiple comparisons using FDR correction

4.3. Occupational Polarization: The True Locus of AI's Impact

Shifting from sectoral to occupational analysis reveals the true contours of AI's impact on the Egyptian labor market: not widespread, uniform exposure, but a sharp polarization. The data divides the workforce into distinct groups based on the nature of their core tasks, with

routine cognitive work emerging as the most vulnerable and manual labor as the most resilient.

- **The Highly-Exposed: Routine Cognitive and Technical Work**

This vulnerability is most pronounced among **Clerical Support Workers**, who stand out as a significant outlier with an average Automatability Index of (52). Their extreme exposure stems from a role composition defined by structured, information-based tasks—data processing, scheduling, and records management—that are directly susceptible to automation by Generative AI. Following closely are **Technicians and Associate Professionals** (40.61), whose roles often involve the systematic application of procedural and technical knowledge, also placing them at high risk. The significant exposure of these large occupational groups signals a near-term productivity paradigm shift, where fewer AI-augmented workers will manage a substantially larger volume of tasks, likely creating transitional employment pressures that necessitate robust reskilling and adaptation initiatives.

Table 10. Automatability Index / Occupation (ISCO-08 Level 1)

level_1_name	Mean	N	Diff. from Dataset Avg.	p-value	Sig.
Skilled Agricultural, Forestry and Fishery Workers	9.48	7	-23.72	0.0019	**
Plant and Machine Operators, and Assemblers	12.25	235	-20.95	0	***
Elementary Occupations	14	203	-19.2	0	***
Clerical Support Workers	52	2094	18.79	0	***
Craft and Related Trades Workers	15.82	414	-17.39	0	***
Service and Sales Workers	22.45	1704	-10.76	0	***
Managers	25.8	7552	-7.4	0	***
Technicians and Associate Professionals	40.61	3536	7.41	0	***
Hospitality, Retail and Other Services Managers	39	8	5.8	0.8929	
Professionals	35.24	12430	2.04	0	***
Dataset Average	**33.2**	**28311**	**0**		

Source: Authors' analysis of online job postings dataset (n=28,311).

***p < 0.001, **p < 0.02, *p < 0.05

p-values adjusted for multiple comparisons using FDR correction

- **The Highly Insulated: Manual, Agricultural, and Craft-Based Work**

At the opposite pole, occupations grounded in physical and manual labor are the most insulated from the current wave of AI. This is most evident among **Skilled Agricultural, Forestry and Fishery Workers** (9.48), **Plant and Machine Operators** (12.25), **Elementary Occupations** (14.00), and **Craft and Related Trades Workers** (15.82). The resilience of these groups is rooted in tasks requiring physical dexterity, mobility, and operation within unpredictable, real-world environments. This insulation is specific to cognitive AI; the long-term threat from robotics unfolds over a slower, more capital-intensive horizon, providing a longer timeframe for adaptation.

- **The Arena of Augmentation: Professionals and Managers**

The most critical finding for Egypt's high-skill workforce, however, concerns the roles where AI's primary impact will be augmentation, not replacement. The seemingly moderate scores for **Managers** (25.80) and **Professionals** (35.24) mask a decisive internal heterogeneity. These scores, hovering closer to the national average, indicate that while the occupations as a whole are not at extreme risk, AI is poised to automate the routine cognitive *components* of this knowledge work—such as data analysis, report generation, and information synthesis. This dynamic will not render these roles obsolete but will instead amplify the value of the strategic, creative, interpersonal, and complex problem-solving skills that machines cannot fully replicate, thereby reshaping the very nature of professional and managerial work.

Table 11. Automatability Index / Occupation (ISCO-08 Level 2)

Occupation (ISCO-08, Level 2)	Mean	N	Diff. from Dataset Avg.	p-value	Sig.
Administrative and Commercial Managers	27.91	3314	-5.29	0	***
Assemblers	16.94	11	-16.27	0.2632	
Building and Related Trades Workers (excluding Electricians)	9.55	75	-23.65	0	***
Business and Administration Associate Professionals	43.27	2354	10.07	0	***
Business and Administration Professionals	37.97	5882	4.77	0	***

Chief Executives, Senior Officials and Legislators	16.64	87	-16.56	0	***
Cleaners and Helpers	10.82	104	-22.38	0	***
Customer Services Clerks	44.21	1277	11.01	0	***
Drivers and Mobile Plant Operators	7.35	148	-25.85	0	***
Electrical and Electronic Trades Workers	10.65	122	-22.55	0	***
Food Preparation Assistants	18.65	12	-14.56	0.1739	
Food Processing, Woodworking, Garment and Other Craft and Related Trades Workers	29.52	60	-3.68	0.5292	
General and Keyboard Clerks	70.4	358	37.2	0	***
Handicraft and Printing Workers	25.34	15	-7.87	0.4493	
Health Associate Professionals	27.3	116	-5.9	0.0915	
Health Professionals	24.06	271	-9.15	0	***
Hospitality, Retail and Other Services Managers	20.33	859	-12.87	0	***
Information and Communications Technicians	43.64	448	10.43	0	***
Information and Communications Technology Professionals	28.93	2986	-4.28	0	***
Labourers in Mining, Construction, Manufacturing and Transport	14.98	68	-18.22	0	***
Legal, Social and Cultural Professionals	45.04	427	11.84	0	***
Legal, Social, Cultural and Related Associate Professionals	21.97	225	-11.23	0	***
Market-oriented Skilled Agricultural Workers	9.48	7	-23.72	0.0017	**
Metal, Machinery and Related Trades Workers	13.22	119	-19.99	0	***
Numerical and Material Recording Clerks	58.39	393	25.19	0	***
Other Clerical Support Workers	64.68	66	31.47	0	***
Personal Care Workers	18.67	37	-14.54	0.0024	**
Personal Service Workers	10.94	494	-22.26	0	***

Production and Specialized Services Managers	25.34	3292	-7.86	0	***
Protective Services Workers	21.04	121	-12.16	0.0002	***
Refuse Workers and Other Elementary Workers	26.36	18	-6.84	0.5406	
Sales Workers	28.25	1048	-4.95	0	***
Science and Engineering Associate Professionals	35.88	392	2.68	0.1645	
Science and Engineering Professionals	38.62	2418	5.42	0	***
Stationary Plant and Machine Operators	21.12	76	-12.09	0.0016	**
Teaching Professionals	20.63	446	-12.57	0	***
Dataset Average	**33.2**	**28311**	**0**		

Source: Authors' analysis of online job postings dataset (n=28,311).

***p < 0.001, **p < 0.02, *p < 0.05

p-values adjusted for multiple comparisons using FDR correction

4.4. Core vs. Periphery: The Mechanics of Occupational Transformation

The occupational polarization previously identified is not random; it is governed by a precise mechanism. The nature of AI's impact—whether it substitutes, augments, or redefines a role—depends entirely on which tasks it automates: a job's core value-creating functions or its peripheral administrative duties. Analyzing the task-level data for the Egyptian market through this lens reveals three distinct archetypes of transformation.

- **Substitution: When AI Automates the Core**

The most disruptive transformation occurs when AI targets an occupation's "vulnerable core." This dynamic is starkly evident in clerical roles, where primary, value-creating tasks are highly automatable. For **General/Keyboard Clerks** (67.09% Primary) and **Other Clerical Workers** (66.21% Primary), AI is not an auxiliary tool but a direct substitute for the role's fundamental purpose. Similarly, **Recording Clerks** (56.60% Primary) face a similar structural threat. The logical outcome is not total job extinction but significant consolidation: fewer workers, augmented by AI, will absorb a vastly larger workload, creating substantial and near-term displacement pressure within these professions.

- **Augmentation: When AI Automates the Periphery**

A far more common and constructive scenario is augmentation, which occurs when AI targets peripheral tasks while leaving a "safe core" intact. **Agricultural Workers** exemplify this archetype, where core manual tasks are almost entirely insulated (4.76% Primary), but ancillary tasks show automation potential. A similar pattern holds for **Hospitality/Retail Managers**, whose core functions of on-site leadership and interpersonal management are highly protected (13.29% Primary), while over a third of their secondary and ancillary administrative duties can be automated. In this model, AI functions as a powerful productivity engine, automating routine burdens to liberate professionals. This allows them to dedicate their full attention to the high-value, uniquely human activities—from strategic oversight to guest satisfaction—that drive success.

- **Redefinition: When AI Transforms the Core Itself**

The most profound transformation is redefinition, which targets Egypt's high-skill knowledge-worker occupations. For roles like **Legal/Social Professionals**, **ICT Technicians**, and **Scientific/Engineering Professionals**, AI automates a substantial portion of both primary (45.42%, 41.48%, and 36.95% respectively) and secondary tasks. This dual pressure does not simply augment the role; it fundamentally redefines its core purpose. A legal professional's value shifts from exhaustive document review to directing an AI that can synthesize case law in seconds. An ICT technician's expertise shifts from manual configuration to overseeing AI-driven diagnostic and network optimization systems. This is not a displacement of skill but an evolution, demanding a new focus on strategic direction, critical inquiry, and creative problem-solving in partnership with intelligent systems.

Table 12. Automatability Index (Primary, Secondary, Ancillary) / Occupation (ISCO-08 Level 2)

Occupation (ISCO-08 Level 2)	N	Primary	Secondary	Ancillary	Impact
Administrative and Commercial Managers	3314	21.5477	32.82863	38.14423	Mixed
Assemblers	11	16.88312	41.59091	0	Disrupted
Building and Related Trades Workers (excluding Electricians)	75	5.911111	13.71534	27.56442	Insulated
Business and Administration Associate Professionals	2354	38.77583	41.86901	38.73001	Disrupted
Business and Administration Professionals	5882	33.68094	37.97422	39.69807	Disrupted
Chief Executives, Senior Officials and Legislators	87	12.22268	20.87758	6.896552	Insulated
Cleaners and Helpers	107	7.007121	11.65109	27.84396	Insulated
Customer Services Clerks	1277	41.81924	33.31554	14.86088	Disrupted

Drivers and Mobile Plant Operators	148	5.067568	6.655405	30.97382	Insulated
Electrical and Electronic Trades Workers	122	6.678376	13.6329	31.88882	Insulated
Food Preparation Assistants	12	11.66667	25.55556	38.71244	Insulated
Food Processing, Woodworking, Garment and Other Craft and Related Trades Workers	60	27.22222	16.14286	32.19697	Insulated
General and Keyboard Clerks	359	67.08604	45.57019	50.16423	Disrupted
Handicraft and Printing Workers	15	22.66667	18.88889	35.18658	Insulated
Health Associate Professionals	117	24.85144	23.53242	27.74927	Insulated
Health Professionals	271	17.63187	23.53242	34.73842	Insulated
Hospitality, Retail and Other Services Managers	859	13.28768	25.65787	34.53006	Insulated
Information and Communications Technicians	448	41.48226	37.07351	39.5009	Disrupted
Information and Communications Technology Professionals	2986	26.38402	28.62937	37.87462	Insulated
Labourers in Mining, Construction, Manufacturing and Transport	68	13.23529	9.723389	17.43326	Insulated
Legal, Social and Cultural Professionals	427	45.42114	24.36025	33.91156	Disrupted
Legal, Social, Cultural and Related Associate Professionals	225	18.6429	25.04994	31.52319	Insulated
Market-oriented Skilled Agricultural Workers	7	4.761905	20.381	0	Augmented
Metal, Machinery and Related Trades Workers	119	8.726491	19.68721	28.5334	Insulated
Numerical and Material Recording Clerks	393	56.59285	39.824	32.07969	Disrupted
Other Clerical Support Workers	66	66.20851	46.67989	50.40718	Disrupted
Personal Care Workers	37	13.96396	23.432	33.97876	Insulated
Personal Service Workers	494	8.152593	14.5271	25.11335	Insulated
Production and Specialized Services Managers	3292	19.92909	27.9543	34.372	Mixed
Protective Services Workers	121	17.82107	15.08953	25.3162	Insulated
Refuse Workers and Other Elementary Workers	19	17.0614	19.29825	23.77608	Insulated
Sales Workers	1048	22.81823	25.9701	31.01405	Insulated
Science and Engineering Associate Professionals	392	32.11858	32.8514	32.5894	Insulated
Science and Engineering Professionals	2418	36.95251	32.8514	40.57456	Disrupted
Stationary Plant and Machine Operators	76	17.92293	19.1557	28.80251	Insulated
Teaching Professionals	446	15.97005	24.08045	31.12809	Insulated

Source: Authors' analysis of online job postings dataset (n=28,311).

***p < 0.001, **p < 0.02, *p < 0.05

p-values adjusted for multiple comparisons using FDR correction

This dynamic forges, what we chose to call it, the **"hybrid professional,"** whose value is no longer measured by their ability to execute cognitive tasks, but by their skill in orchestrating them. For this critical segment of the workforce, deep domain expertise must fuse with AI literacy. Mastering this new paradigm of AI-assisted professional work is not an option—it is the new definition of competence.

5. PRINCIPAL FINDINGS

The findings of this study move beyond a simple quantification of automation to reveal the precise mechanics of a transformation that is already underway. The results confirm that AI is not a uniform tide but a polarizing force, whose fundamental impact is determined by the intrinsic tasks that define a role, even as this impact manifests unevenly across the Egyptian economic landscape. This core insight leads to a series of strategic implications for policymakers, educators, and business leaders as they navigate the transition to an AI-driven economy.

5.1. Principal Findings: A New Framework for Understanding Transformation

Our analysis produced three principal findings that, taken together, form a cohesive narrative of change.

- **The Uneven Manifestation of a Task-Based Impact:** First, we establish that the manifestation of AI's impact in Egypt is not uniform; it reflects a deep structural concentration of automatable roles within specific geographies and work modes. This variance, particularly between economic hubs and peripheral regions, and between on-site and remote work, confirms that while AI is a structural, task-based force, its effects will be felt most acutely and immediately in certain parts of the economy. Regional policy and work arrangements are not causal factors of automation, but they are critical indicators of where intervention and support will be most needed.
- **The Polarization of Occupations:** Second, our analysis reveals that the true fault lines of disruption are occupational, not sectoral. The labor market is cleaving into three distinct groups: a highly exposed cohort of Clerical Support Workers facing substitution; a highly insulated group of Agricultural, Manual, and Craft Workers shielded by the current limits of AI; and the largest and most critical group, Professionals and Managers, who are entering an era of augmentation and redefinition.

- **The Mechanics of Change:** Finally, we move beyond identifying who is affected to explain how. By analyzing the automation of core versus peripheral tasks, we identify three distinct mechanisms: substitution for roles with a vulnerable core (e.g., Clerical Workers), productivity enhancement for roles with a safe core (e.g., Hospitality Managers, Agricultural Workers), and profound redefinition for the knowledge workers whose core tasks are themselves being transformed (e.g., Legal, ICT, and Scientific Professionals). This culminates in the emergence of the "hybrid professional," whose value lies not in executing cognitive tasks, but in orchestrating AI to do so. This terminology was set by ECES professionals.

5.2. Strategic Implications for Policy and Practice

These findings are not merely descriptive; they form a strategic map for action. The challenge for Egypt is not to halt automation, but to manage its consequences and harness its potential to accelerate national economic and human capital goals as outlined in Vision 2030.

- **For Human Capital and Education: A Portfolio Approach to Reskilling**

A one-size-fits-all approach to AI upskilling is destined to fail. The evidence calls for a targeted, portfolio-based national skills strategy:

  - **Reskilling for the Disrupted:** The high exposure of **Clerical Support** Workers (52.00% automation) signals a near-term risk of transitional unemployment. Policy should focus on urgent reskilling initiatives that create pathways from these roles into adjacent, more insulated occupations (e.g., from administrative support to roles in hospitality, tourism, or personal services).
  - **Upskilling for the Augmented:** For the majority of the professional workforce, the challenge is not replacement but redefinition. Higher education and corporate training must pivot from teaching discrete, automatable skills (e.g., basic data analysis, report writing) to cultivating the durable human skills that AI amplifies. Curricula should prioritize strategic thinking, complex problem-solving, creativity, and—most critically—the executive function of directing and validating AI systems. This is the new definition of professional competence.

- **For Youth Employment and the Demographic Dividend: A Strategic Challenge**

The polarization of AI's impact presents a critical challenge and a strategic opportunity for harnessing Egypt's significant youth population.

- **Mitigating Risk to Entry-Level Roles:** The roles most susceptible to automation, particularly clerical and administrative support, are often the primary entry points for young graduates entering the formal economy. A failure to adapt educational outputs to new market realities risks exacerbating youth unemployment as these traditional pathways narrow.
- **A New Trajectory for Youth Employment:** Instead of training youth for traditional, now-vulnerable roles, the focus must shift to preparing and positioning them for the high-value, redefined "hybrid professional" and jobs that will drive the future economy. By aligning educational and vocational training with these emerging roles, Egypt can transform its demographic dividend from a challenge into a core economic strength, creating a generation of digitally native, AI-literate talent.

- **For National Economic Strategy: Solving the Productivity Paradox**

Our findings affirm that the primary barrier to realizing AI's economic potential is not the technology itself, but its superficial implementation. The government and industry leaders can play a crucial role in overcoming this paradox by:

- **Incentivizing Deep Integration:** The **Ministry of Communications and Information Technology (MCIT)** must transition from general digital literacy initiatives toward **demand-driven, granular skill-building programs**. Our findings indicate that the primary bottleneck is a widening gap between traditional training and the specific, high-level competencies required for deep AI integration. By implementing data-backed assessment frameworks that identify real-time labor market needs, the Ministry can ensure that the workforce is not just "digitally literate," but specifically equipped to fill the high-value roles envisioned in **Vision 2030**.

5.3. Future Research

This study provides a robust, data-driven snapshot. Future research should prioritize the following:

- We will continue to track evolving **job market trends** to capture the shifting equilibrium between AI integration and human labor demand over time
- Through strategic collaborations with leading employment agencies, we aim to integrate more granular data regarding the current **supply of skills** and workforce capabilities

- We propose bridging the gap between academic curricula and market needs by developing a dynamic **career-path platform**. This tool would allow students to map their individual skill sets against real-time demand, providing personalized pathways that identify high-growth opportunities while highlighting roles at a higher risk of AI-driven displacement

References

Acemoglu, Daron, and David Autor. 2011. "Skills, Tasks and Technologies: Implications for Employment and Earnings." *Handbook of Labor Economics* 4: 1043–1171.

Access Partnership. 2024. *AI and the Global Economy: Unlocking Growth and Reshaping Work.*

Baird, Michelle, Maria Carpanelli, and Sofia Lara. 2024a. "Generative AI and Gender: Global Measures of Workers in GAI Classifications." *LinkedIn Economic Graph*, March 6.

Baird, Michelle, Maria Carpanelli, Bin Xu, and Kai Xu. 2024b. *Early Evidence on the Impact of GitHub Copilot on Labor Market Outcomes for Software Engineers.* Working Paper no. 5. LinkedIn Economic Graph Research Institute, September.

Carpanelli, Maria, Michelle Baird, and Cristian Jara-Figueroa. 2024a. "Generative AI's Influence on Employment Patterns." *LinkedIn Economic Graph*, June.

Dell'Acqua, Fabrizio, Edward McFowland III, Ethan Mollick, Hila Lifshitz-Assaf, Katherine C. Kellogg, Saran Rajendran, Lisa Krayer, François Candelon, and Karim R. Lakhani. 2023. *Navigating the Jagged Technological Frontier: Field Experimental Evidence of the Effects of AI on Knowledge Worker Productivity and Quality.* Harvard Business School Technology & Operations Management Unit Working Paper no. 24-013.

Eloundou, Tyna, Sam Manning, Pamela Mishkin, and Daniel Rock. 2024. "GPTs Are GPTs: Labor Market Impact Potential of LLMs." *Science* 384 (6702): 1306–1308.

Felten, Edward, Manav Raj, and Robert Seamans. 2023. "How Will Language Models like ChatGPT Affect Occupations and Industries?" *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2303.01157.

Kimbrough, Kory, and Maria Carpanelli. 2023. "Preparing the Workforce for Generative AI: Insights and Implications." *LinkedIn Economic Graph Research Institute*, August 23.

McKinsey & Company. 2023a. *The Economic Potential of Generative AI: The Next Productivity Frontier.* June 14.

Microsoft and LinkedIn. 2024. *2024 Work Trend Index Annual Report: AI at Work Is Here. Now Comes the Hard Part.*

QuantumBlack, AI by McKinsey. 2025. *Seizing the Agentic AI Advantage: A CEO Playbook to Solve the Gen AI Paradox and Unlock Scalable Impact with AI Agents.* June.

Sukharevsky, Alex, Daniel Kerr, Katrín Hjartar, Lareina Hämäläinen, Stéphane Bout, and Vincent Di Leo. 2025. *Seizing the Agentic AI Advantage: A CEO Playbook to Solve the Gen AI Paradox and Unlock Scalable Impact with AI Agents.* QuantumBlack, AI by McKinsey, June.

World Economic Forum and LinkedIn. 2025. *Gender Parity in the Intelligent Age.* White paper, March.

Zarifhonarvar, Arash. 2023. “Economics of ChatGPT: A Labor Market View on the Occupational Impact of Artificial Intelligence.” *Journal of Electronic Business & Digital Economics* 3 (2): 100–116.

Zhang, Hong. 2024. “The Future of Work: AI’s Impact on Employment and Social Structures in the Digital Age.” In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Management Research and Economic Development*.